Applicant: Kou-Joan Cheng, et al Attorney's Docket No.: 08919-074001 / 14A-900803

Applicant: Nou-Joan Cheng, et a

Serial No.: 10/087,699 Filed: March 1, 2002

Page : 5 of 6

REMARKS

This document is submitted in response to the final Office Action mailed November 15, 2005 ("Office Action").

Applicants have cancelled claims 2 and 7. Accordingly, Tsung-Yin Tang, a co-inventor of the subject matter of claims 2 and 7, has been deleted from the inventorship of this application. Applicants attach herewith a request to correct inventorship under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b).

Claims 1, 3, 4-6, and 8-10 are now pending. No new matter has been introduced. Reconsideration of these claims is requested in view of the following remarks.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)

Claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 were rejected as being anticipated by an article authored by Chang-Chih Chen, Ching-Tsuan Huang, and Kou-Joan Cheng, ("Chen *et al.*"). See the Office Action, page 2, lines 13-14.

In particular, the previous Office Action (dated February 22, 2005) noted that the inventive entity of the present application, i.e., consisting of Chang-Chih Chen, Ching-Tsuan Huang, Kou-Joan Cheng, and <u>Tsung-Yin Tang</u> is different from that of Chen *et al.*, as Tang was not an author of this reference. See the Office Action mailed 2/22/05, page 3, lines 17-20.

Applicants would like to point out that as claims 2 and 7, covering subject matter co-invented by Tang, have been cancelled, so Tang is no longer listed as an inventor in this application. Thus, the inventive entity of the application now consists of Chang-Chih Chen, Ching-Tsuan Huang, and Kou-Joan Cheng, i.e., the same as that of Chen *et al.* Applicants therefore submit that Chen *et al.* is no longer available as prior art against the pending claims.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 2 and 7 were rejected as being obvious over Chen et al. in view of Cory.

As pointed out above, since Applicants have cancelled claims 2 and 7, Chen *et al.*, the primary reference, is no longer citable. Thus, the ground for this rejection has been mooted.

¹ Applicants note that the name "Hunag C-T" as listed in the Chen *et al.* reference, is misspelled. The correct name is "Huang CT," i.e, Ching-Tsan Huang, one of the inventors of the present application.

Applicant: Kou-Joan Cheng, et al

Serial No.: 10/087,699 Filed: March 1, 2002

Page : 6 of 6

CONCLUSION

Based on the remarks set forth above, Applicants submit that all of the pending claims cover allowable subject matter. Allowance by the Examiner is respectfully solicited.

Enclosed is a \$60 check for a petition of a one month extension of time. Please apply any other charges to deposit account 06-1050, referencing attorney docket No. 08919-074001.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 08919-074001 / 14A-900803

Date: 3 - 9 - 06

Y. Rocky Tsao, Ph.D., J.D. Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 34,053

PTO Customer No. 26161 Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804 Telephone: (617) 542-5076

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

21035753.doc